

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/500,284	Applicant(s) EKMAN-ORDEBERG ET AL.	
	Examiner Leigh C. Maier	Art Unit 1623	

All Participants:

(1) Leigh C. Maier.

(2) Paul Pyla.

Date of Interview: 18 March 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
13 and 15

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: amended

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Exr indicated that the claims were essentially in order for allowance, but the newly added claims 13 and 15 contained a limitation ("not eligible for antithrombotic therapy") that did not appear to have support in the specification. Mr. Pyla authorized cancellation of those claims. The claims are canceled by examiner's amendment set forth in attached Notice of Allowance.